


REMARKS

The Examiner's finding that Claims 4, 6 through 8, 15, and 19 are allowed or contain allowable subject matter is gratefully acknowledged. Claims 4 and 18 have been amended to render them definite, thereby overcoming the objection under 35 U.S.C. § 112.

The courtesy of the Examiner in conferring with Applicant's Attorney, Allen Inks, in a telephone conference on June 29, 2006, is greatly appreciated. During the telephone conference, the Examiner and Applicant's Attorney discussed U.S. Patent No. 4,420,289 to Silhouette. The Examiner agreed with Applicant's Attorney that the Silhouette reference did not teach what the Examiner originally thought the Silhouette reference taught; more specifically, the Examiner agreed that her assertion that the Silhouette reference taught "determining that the pump is running while accumulator pressure is above a pump shut off pressure corresponding to point D in Figure D in figure 2" was incorrect. Since the Silhouette reference was not well applied, the Examiner agreed that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 should be withdrawn, and such withdrawal is respectfully requested, for at least the reason discussed.

The application should now be in proper form for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Allen W. Inks  
Reg. No. 37,358

MacMillan, Sobanski & Todd, LLC  
One Maritime Plaza, Fifth Floor  
720 Water Street  
Toledo, Ohio 43604  
(419) 255-5900